











































Why no one will own a car in 25 years

Cadle Thompson Jun. 29, 2015, 1:33 PM CityLab

In just a few short decades, owning a car could be a lot like owning a horse — mostly for hobbyists and really unnecessary for transportation purposes.

Technologies such as selfdriving cars paired with transportation networks such as

Uber will pretty much kill the need to own a car in 25 to 30 years, Jamais Cascio, a futurist and senior fellow at the Institute for Ethics and Emerging Technologies, told Business Insider.

ng Lexus cars

w Califr

the Co

https://www.businessinsider.com/why-no-one-will-own-a-car-in-25-years-2015-6

Subsidize household AV purchases...

At the moment, the technology used in AVs ... can cost more than US\$ 30,000 ... this equipment is expected to become more affordable as AVs become available to the public on a mass scale. Hensley estimates that 15 years after the commercialization of AVs, their cost will drop from a US\$ 10,000 markup (i.e. the additional payment for autonomy technology) to a US\$ 3,000 markup. Before reaching these affordable markups, however, we believe that governing agencies should promote AV purchases by implementing rebates and subsidies (to families).

Baron, O., Berman, O., and Nourinejad, M. (2019) The Economics of Autonomous Vehicles (McKinsey)

Harmonize

Harmonize MOBILITY

Hope vs. History

Long-standing problems dramatically relieved without changing consumption behaviour!

- Reduced fatalities and injuries!
- Less congestion!
- No emissions!

Automation delivers more

- Easy sharing; less parking
- Solves drink driving and driver distraction
- Improve trip access and availability
- Addresses the mobility disadvantaged

Godsend for

- Daily commuters
- Urban planners
- Livability
- Politicians

All with few changes in consumer behaviour!

Legacy barriers

- Existing infrastructure
- RegulationsUnions
- Extant fleets with sunk investment & remaining life cycle

These barriers

- delay benefits
- frustrate dispersion
- reduce ROI

Preferences and behaviors (some older than automobility

- Preferences for control, independence, and status turn the plausible for some into the unlikely for many
- The number of barriers to becoming a zero-car family are high.



Harmonize MOBILITY Human vs. Machine Humans are better than Machines are better than machines humans Solve the whole context . Better in narrow domains Have unparalleled visual capability More accurate; don't tire Solve problems they've never seen Undistracted; don't drink Can make ethical decisions Take away tedious work Are flexible problem solvers Cheaper (eventually) Caution: we have greater tolerance for human error than for machine error



Technology isn't just changing society it's changing what it means to be human

A conversation with historian of science Michael Bess. By Sean Illing | @seanilling | sean.illing@vox.com | Feb 23, 2018, 8:40am EST https://www.vox.com/technology/2018/2/23/16992816/facebook-twitter-tech-artificial-intelligence-crispr

- Does technology help more than hurt humans?
 - Depends which technologies
 - Depends what you measure
 - Depends how its regulated











Harmonize Transit vs. AVs TRANSIT **AVs** ✓ People will prefer door to door ✓ No space for all the cars ✓ Keep building heavy transit ✓ Rethink transit planning ✓ Use AVs to feed transit (F/L mile) ✓ Stop and wait transit building Ezike, R., Martin, J., Catalano, K., Cohn, J., (2019) Where Are Self-Driving Cars https://ottawacitizen.com/opinion/columnists/kirk-potential-Taking Us? Pivotal Choices That Will Shape DC's Transportation Futur of-driverless-taxis-should-delay-stage-2-of-ottawa-Irt "...public transport is painful... Why do you want "We must make the right choices today to to get on something with a lot of other people, ensure that automated vehicles do not that doesn't leave where you want it to leave, increase traffic and pollution, undermine doesn't start where you want it to start, doesn't public transit, and exacerbate inequities in end where you want it to end, and it doesn't go our transportation system." all the time." — Elon Musk - Union of Concerned Scientists

31

Harmonize

Internal Combustion Engine vs. Electric Vehicle

(As AV's base vehicle)

ICE

- ✓ Charging stations not ready
- We're totally set up for ICE fleets
 - Service Stations
 - Skills/Training
- ✓ Power is an independent issue
- ✓ Needs new training
- ✓ We'll lose jobs / companies

EV

- AVs must be about improving our environment!
 - So MUST be EV!
- Robotaxi fleets are the best opportunity to convert to EVs
 - If not now, when?
- China will beat us we lose!

16

32





17

Aspirations vs. Realities ACES – aspiration Autonomous Connected Electric Shared

Aspirations vs. Realities		armonize OBILITY
ACES	Mindell's Myths of Autonomy	
Autonomous Automated Connected Electric Shared	Replacement Linear progress Full autonomy	
	 Human Planning Direction Oversight Approvals https://www.huffingtonpost.com/david-a-mindell/driverless-cars-and-the-myths-of-autonomy_b_8287230.html https://www.techrepublic.com/article/why-robots-still-need-us-david-a-mindell-debunks-theory-of-complete-autonomy/	
		3

¹¹ There's really no such thing as full autonomy. You can always find a wrapper of human intention and direction that goes around even the most autonomous robots. It's always interacting with the human world in some way or another. The highest expression of the technologies are the ones that <u>work most deeply, fluidly, with human beings</u>.

https://www.techrepublic.com/article/why-robots-still-need-us-david-a-mindell-debunks-theory-of-complete-autonomy/



► Harmonize ► MOBILITY

Aspirations vs. Realities

ACES

Autonomous

- Connected
- ≻Electric
- Shared

ELECTRIC

Range anxiety remains

- Real?
- Psychological?
- Solvable?

The installed U.S. ICE eco-system:

- ... is in place
- ... is highly motivated to innovate
- ... has new supply (shale)
 - This diminishes the U.S. "National security" motivation for EVs.

39









